DAILY EVOLVER LIVE PODCAST, EDITED TRANSCRIPT | 9.15.2015 | Boulder Colorado | Jeff Salzman

Polarities, personalities, and politics How Trump is blowing up the calcified Republican psyche

Jeff: Hello everybody, I'm Jeff Salzman and welcome back to the Daily Evolver Live on Integral Radio. It's Tuesday September 15th 2015 and I'm ever so happy to be back in the groove with you people again. I'm here tonight with, as always Brett Walker. Hey Brett how are you doing?

Brett: All is well.

Jeff: Good. Our little puppies Stella and Gracie are in the kitchen, we hope suitably distracted. We have Corey deVos at Integral Radio handling the tech there.

I hope you all had a great summer. I did. This was the first summer in a long time that I really took off. Like I did when I was a kid in school. That doesn't mean that Brett did, because we are putting up a new website and he had a bunch of stuff, interviews and so forth that I had done. But for me it was a nice summer of just being in beautiful Boulder.

I worked with Steve McIntosh doing the Integral Escalator, which was a weekend event that turned out great. Steve has a new book coming out, *The Presence of the Infinite*, that actually is out now as of two days ago at Amazon. I also want to give a shout out to Ken Wilber whose work I have been so inspired by. And to Integral Life, which is the main web portal for his work.

What we do here at the Daily Evolver is apply integral theory to current events, using mainly the AQAL model developed by Ken Wilber. I seek to apply integral theory to current events for two reasons. One is that integral theory helps us to understand current events better. We think about ISIS, and we think about the immigration of refugees in Europe, and the stock market in China, and the American political melee. Integral theory is just like the Google Map. You turn the resolution up and you see, "Oh wait, what I thought was just the ocean and the mountains and the plains" I now see that, "Oh okay there are features in between: forests and rivers and people and settlements." That's what the integral map does: it helps us to see things more clearly with more detail revealing new patterns.

Then also on the other side of the street current events helps to understand integral theory, which is a fairly abstract model of the world. (Of course integral theory, for those of you who may not know, is the philosophical challenge of integrating all of human wisdom into a meta pattern. Where we see patterns that connect east and west, and premodern and postmodern, and science and religion. Yes there's a theory of everything -- that's the quest of integral theory.)

THE PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN IN AMERICA

All right, why don't we just get into the main topic tonight, the American political situation. Of course we are having the presidential elections in a little over a year. That's when we'll all be heralding the beginning of a new Donald Trump administration.

I actually doubt that, but of course the story of the American political scene today is Donald Trump. He is leading in every poll in every state, and generally by a wide margin. I had a friend of mine, Bryan, who is not that into politics, call me. He's into entertainment and he knows Donald Trump as a celebrity from *The Apprentice* and so forth. He called me a couple of days ago and he asked me in the most sincere way, "Can Donald Trump really be the president?" I could tell, he's both fascinated and horrified by the proposition, as I think we all are, aren't we sort of? My answer to him was "absolutely not." I am almost 100% sure that Donald Trump will never be the president of the United States ... probably. One never knows - life is full of surprises. But at any rate Donald Trump is performing a great evolutionary service to his country by running. Let me explain this.

What does integral theory tell us about Donald Trump? How can we better understand him? With the integral lens we see that Donald Trump is -- developmentally speaking -- playing a different game than the other candidates.

Let me just stop here for a second for those of you who aren't really conversant in integral theory. Let me suggest that you go to my website, Dailyevolver.com, and click on the theory section and you will see two charts right at the top: one is the Altitudes of Development chart and the other on the Quadrants of Reality chart. Integral theory says that humanity is evolving through predictable stages of development. Typically Republicans are operating from what you will see in that chart is the amber stage of development. That's the stage of traditionalists. These are social conservatives. These people are militaristic, they are nationalistic, they are not world-centric, they are nation-centric ... and they believe in hearth and home and aunts and grandma.

We all know these people, many of us grew up with these people, the social conservatives, 25-30% of the American population. Next we have the orange or the modern stage of development. This is the stage of development that incorporates the business people, scientists, secularists, libertarians -- the people who really believe in a radical sense of individual freedom. These are the two structures of development that are being lit up by the Republicans. They are traditionalists and enlist the business side of the modernist.

Now what Donald Trump is doing is he's changing the game because he's coming from the stage previous to traditionalism, which is what we call the red warrior stage. In the red warrior stage the world is ordered according to power dynamics. This is pre-legal, this is pre ten commandments. What passes for currency at this stage is whoever is the strongest in any situation.

One of the markers of the red warrior stage is that you don't make policy proposals at this stage of development. You don't even make arguments. You don't try to persuade. You just make assertions. I think that Donald Trump's propensity for this is exemplified in this audio that I would like to play. It's twenty seconds from the Jimmy Kimmel show and it's an ad that Kimmel put together for Trump. Take a listen:

From Video: Donald Trump a man with a vision for America, not a specific vision, a great vision, the best vision. Donald Trump has a plan for making this country great again. What plan? A great plan, a plan that will work because it is the best.

Jeff: Now this is a perfectly coherent Red Warrior argument. We'd let other candidate's issue position papers and lay out policy agendas. Essentially Trump says, "I'm not here to convince you or persuade you, I'm not even here to win you over. I'm here to tell you that I'm the guy who's going to make America great again. If you are smart and if you are not a loser you better be with me."

Now for most of us on this call who are postmodern and integral, you kind of feel yourself cringe. It's embarrassing. And yet a lot of people are with him. I'm even with him. We postmods may have psychic structures online that are beyond red warrior. But remember: integral includes as it transcends, and so none of the earlier structures, including red, go away. And so I vibe with Trump's red, warrior structure. I notice that it draws my attention.

There are a few additional characteristics of the red warrior structure that are worth mentioning. First of all, there is a personal braggadocio about it. Now this is true whether you are a red politician or a gangster or a dictator or a warlord (these are all characters that live in the red warrior stage of development).

You have Trump saying, things like, "I build a tremendous fortune, I have a very high IQ." When was the last time you heard somebody say, "I have a very high IQ"? It's like disqualifying at the higher stages but at red it actually has currency. "I will be the greatest jobs president God ever created. I will build a great wall on our southern border and I will have Mexico pay for that wall."

These are simple assertions by Donald Trump. Again, they aren't policy positions, these aren't even arguments. Developmentally, those things come online in the traditional and modern stages. In modern you start making arguments based on fact -- but at the warrior stage of development it is about looking good. Because that's kind of all there is at that stage of development.

Another marker of this stage is bling, B-L-I-N-G. You see this of course in rap music but well with Trump it's his whole brand. The Trump buildings are marble and gold plated. His apartment in his Manhattan skyscraper, you should look it up on the internet. It's has carved ceilings like the Sistine Chapel and built in marble pillars. The slogan from his website is, "Live a life with no boundaries, limits or compromise" and that is basically a red vibration.

Sexual prowess is also a big characteristic of this stage of development. Genghis Khan apparently had such a way with the ladies that he has sixteen million descendants living today. So if we are a red altitude rapper we surround ourselves with oiled up gyrating girls in bikinis. If we are a red altitude billionaire casino developer we surround ourselves with Miss Universe. That's slightly more refined but that's what Donald Trump is. I don't mean to be cynical about this. This is a very legitimate because it's there stage of development we all resonate with it at about the third chakra. Where the solar plexus it is, we could feel that vibrational coherence with somebody who's strong and can take care of us. And maybe that person is us, maybe it's about feeling our own power.

This is evolutionary right on schedule. That one of the things we do at integral is we just differentiate things. We see that this warrior stage is about power but it's not about law. It's not about fairness -- that's traditionalism. It's not about rights -- that's modernity. God forbid it's not about pluralism -- that's green postmodern, and that of course sounds like chaos to a warrior. Note that this red vibe that Donald Trump is putting out is riveting. People can't not notice it, people can't not look at, it's like a train wreck in a certain way. You saw at the last Republican presidential debate, and by the way we are going to have another debate tomorrow so we'll see how that goes. I think Donald Trump has grown a little teensy bit from the first to the second.

WHEN COMPLEX THINKING BECOMES POLARIZED, BLUNT THINKING CAN BREAK THE ICE

Normally people will respond to the higher level arguments --policy and proposals -- rather than braggadocio. But in contemporary America the arguments coming from traditionalism and even modernity have become polarized. This is not a criticism; polarization is a feature of development that takes place at every stage So we are not against polarization, we notice that it plays its role in evolution. As Walt Whitman wrote *"Out of the dimness opposite equals advance."* Hegel philosophized that a thesis naturally generates an antithesis and they have to get really, really clear with each other. Then we get a new synthesis.

So this is what is happening in American politics: we are in a stage of calcified polarities. We have a political class whose practitioners are operating out of the book of political best

practices. They are running everything through endless polling and focus groups to find out what people's hot buttons are, what turns them on, what turns them off. To find out where in your speech the focus group turned up the dial of approval. And you construct your political message and your political persona as a way of appealing to that. You find your position in the political market and then you go out and you raise money and you get support from the leading people in the party and the system.

You get about the project of selling yourself. Again I don't mean to sound cynical about this. If you look at history, this kind of modern political practice where leaders are trying to both understand and to exquisitely feel into the electorate...and then lead the electorate forward...that's actually a high achievement of humanity. Way higher than the previous stages which featured...well, Stalin didn't care about public opinion. Most kings and warlords didn't either. Anyway we have this situation where Donald Trump has come in and blown up this calcified political class.

What Donald Trump is doing that none of the other Republicans will do is he has declared war politically against the political and economic elites. This is very, powerful because this begins to break up a hardened polarity. We've noticed that with the political elites, I just saw that the richest metropolitan area in the country is the area around Washington DC. That is the geographical center of the American political class. Populated by people who run the political system both in and out of the private sector. It is a norm for people who are in government whether they are working for the bureaucracy or they are congress people to go then go to the private sector to become multimillionaires, And the beat goes on. There's a revolving door around the public and private sector, and as with all systems there are people who are playing it for their advantage.

Then we have the economic elites. These are the people -- generally more located in New York City -- but these are the people who run the economy. They are also disproportionately made rich by it. That you have the proverbial hedge fund manager making millions of dollars a year, or even hundreds of millions of dollars a year, this is not unusual. Yet these people are paying a smaller tax rate than their secretaries.

Of course both of these -- the political elites and the economic elites -- think that this is the way it ought to be. They don't see themselves as being bad people. In fact they think they are doing the world a favor, and actually they are. In the sense they are running these astonishing achievement of humanity, the worldwide political and economic system. This unbelievably complex matrix of the flow of money, this flow of energy that contains and controls the whole, as we would say in integral, the lower right quadrant. This is the collective exterior, the manifest world of human systems. We need these people. It is also I guess just to be expected that they are going to take a little nick out of everything. A nick out of everything which adds up for them to in extreme cases the Lamborghinis, the third homes and yachts.

That begins to be morally repugnant at some point. It's funny how that works: we just notice it in our own minds and bodies that while we want people to achieve, we want people to achieve even in a big way, but it's like Bernie Sanders said, "When .1% -- not one 1% but .1% -- of people own more than the bottom 90% then there's something wrong."

This is the sort if interesting thing about polarities is that, once you get the thesis and antithesis well sort of metabolized and clarified then the next step is to create new synthesis. You have both Donald Trump and Bernie Sanders, from two ends of the spectrum, coming to the same political agenda...which consists of two major platforms. One is tax hikes for the rich, and both of them are big time for it. Two is an end to cheap labor and trade deals.

It's really so interesting to see this unfold. Last night Bernie Sanders goes to Liberty University which is the fundamentalist evangelical university in Virginia founded by Jerry Falwell, the most right of the right. Bernie Sanders is the most left of the left and he's giving his speech, he never changes it. It's the same speech every time about economic justice and the 0.1% and all of these evangelicals are loving it. He's bringing down the house and there's something happening here that I think is really, really worth noticing.

PLEASE, GOD, LET'S NOT ACTUALLY HAVE TRUMP BE PRESIDENT, OKAY?

Please God let's not actually have Trump be president, okay?

Yet I do want to say a couple of things in his favor before I completely turn against him. He's actually quite capable of moving between stages. Clearly he's able to operate from red warrior stage. This is so interesting. It's not about what's good for the country. "The country" doesn't exist at the Warrior stage. *I'm the country!* is the claim of many great strongmen. And that's Donald Trump.

Probably the most famous point in this whole election cycle so far was in the last Republican debate, which was a month ago or so. This was the famous debate by Fox News, with Megyn Kelly and Brett Bahr and all those guys.

The first question was "raise your hand if you do not pledge to support the eventual nominee of the Republican Party."

I actually stopped my VCR at that point because I had a room full of people who were watching it and we were like, "Oh my God what's he (Trump) going to say? Is he or is he not going to pledge." We put it back on and there's everybody looking at each other and Donald Trump raises his hand. He said, "I'm not going to pledge my support to the eventual nominee of the Republican Party," and that's scandalous and it gets everybody's attention. I thought, "Oh my God we have a savior."

And...here's what I wish he had said at that point:

"Yes I'm a Republican and I intend to run as a Republican. But what we are doing here with my campaign is bigger than the Republican Party.

"Our country is going to hell and you Republicans have been party to it every step of the way. You supported the bailout, you supported NAFTA and Obama's incompetent trade deals that have gutted the middle class and buried the working people.

"You Republicans brought about this dangerous treaty with Iran. How does the most important treaty in twenty years past the senate with just forty votes? That's blatantly unconstitutional but you Republicans let it happen.

"Obamacare is a disaster reviled by the vast majority of the American people and still it stands. That's why you Republicans have a 28% approval rating among your own members.

"Again, I would love to run as a Republican, the party of Reagan, and I'm going to work to win the Republican nomination. But if that is not possible I reserve the right to keep my options open. My mission is bigger than the Republican Party. I have a responsibility to this great country to carry that out and make America great again" ... and whatever, until the buzzer rings. That's what I wish he had said. Not that I agree with most of it actually, but I would have been inspired by it.

Instead he said, "No I'm not going to pledge to support the Republican nominee because I don't know how you are going to treat me. If you treat me with respect I'll support the nominee, if you don't I'm making no promises, I have to maintain my leverage."

My God I could feel myself deflate.

Even last night he had this big rally in Dallas, twenty some thousand people. Still the whole night it's about him and his polls. There's nothing about policies, there's nothing about an agenda. At some point I wonder if Donald Trump is not just limited to the red altitude of development.

Yet he can operate in traditionalism. He knows how to sit down and shut up and he knows how to be civilized. He's a good father, he's militaristic, he's nation-centric. These are all markers of traditionalism.

Then clearly he's also good at the orange, modern stage of development. He's been a tremendous success in one of the most cutthroat industries in the world: New York real estate.

Trump also has a postmodern vibe. He's supported Democrats in the past. He's been proabortion. A few years ago he proposed a 14% surtax on wealthy people in order to pay for the deficit. This is crazy by Republican orthodoxy, and as green [postmodern altitude] as can be.

THE TRUMP TYPE

This is where we can actually look at another one of the integral maps for an explanation. And that is the map of type. We see in terms of typology that Donald Trump is probably a pathological Enneagram 3. In other words he has a narcissism personality disorder. He's literally incapable of looking outside of himself. It's an actual disability. It's something we ought to feel bad about when people have it.

Yet it can be very powerful in a leader because it's so clear that if you follow me -- I'm the powerful person -- it's all going to work out, don't worry about it.

It's a little bit like the way children deal with their parents. This is from an integral perspective: individually we go through the developmental stages as we grow up. When your parents say, "We are going to go to the zoo or the carnival," we don't worry about the details. We don't worry about what route they are going to take, how they are going to pay for it, none of that matters. It's like, "Yeah lets go."

That is the way that we deal with a red leader and so we notice ourselves sort of responding to Donald Trump in that way. I would like to think that we'll see tomorrow night that maybe he's grown. Yet he didn't grow last night at that rally...I mean jeez it's embarrassing.

I wish we could get a non-crazy billionaire running for president. We've had Donald Trump, we've had Ross Perot, both certifiable. I wish Bill Gates would run for president and finance himself ...

HOW ABOUT HILLARY?

But fear not, dear liberals...we do have Hillary Clinton! So let's move on to Hillary. Hillary Clinton has lost 20% of her support in the last two months. She's losing to Bernie Sanders in the first key state of New Hampshire, and she's losing by a lot. She's seen as being honest and

trustworthy by only 25% of the population. This is a really crushingly low number because one of the things we see as we look at all of the elections in modern times is that the most likeable candidate wins. That's why candidates try to be likeable.

Sometimes it works and sometimes it doesn't but that is an ironclad rule of politics. What is this X-factor of magnetism and likability? Hillary Clinton certainly is competent, she works hard, she is very loyal...but there's no emotional appeal.

She has some emotional appeal of course, just by being a woman, and I think there are a lot of people out there -- particularly advanced modernists and postmodernists -- who with all other things being equal would prefer to have a woman president because it's just time to do that.

She's going to get the benefit of that, but the bigger X factor is...well, from an integral perspective we would say it's what's happening in the right hand quadrant. It's the subtle body; it's real material vibration in the upper right quadrant (our individual energy body) that causes a vibration in the lower right quadrant (other peoples' energy bodies). People who have it have a certain kind of flow of authenticity. They are deeply connected with who they are, and are able to project it outward.

The transmission of that energy vibration causes the chemistry to shift in the subtle realm for the rest of us. Again this is the right hand quadrant. This is real material stuff, this isn't just consciousness, this isn't just imaginary. It causes the chemistry of the collective to shift and we can see this with great athletes or great artists or these riveting performances. It moves us beyond our small selves. We use language like I was beside myself, I was blown away, I was shuttered by this person or this performance.

Politicians have it too, to the degree in which they are actually transmitting something authentic. This isn't transferable by a family name and Clinton being a Clinton doesn't mean that she has what Bill Clinton has. This is also Jeb Bush's problem. Jeb Bush apparently he doesn't have it either. His brother did. You can say what you will about George W. Bush but he had a sparkly vividness of West Texas swagger that lit many people up.

Trying to transfer this subtle energy X factor to the wife or the brother is like pushing a rope. It just doesn't really work. Bill and Hillary Clinton, for instance, put an idea forth back when they were governor and first lady of Arkansas. That voters would "get two for one" ...you would elect Bill but you would get Bill and Hillary, an equal partnership of two superstars.

Members of the liberal culture [green, postmodern development] were excited about that, and ready to absorb the idea that we can have a couple made up of high achieving equals. The reality really wasn't there, however. He was always more popular than she was. She was always more popular and is more popular when she's not a candidate than when she is. She's not been a successful politician the times that she's actually been in the game. If you look at the healthcare initiative that she tried to push through in 1993 in early stages of Clinton's presidency, it was terribly bungled and an almost immediate failure, a nonstarter. It took Obama to get healthcare through, fifteen years later.

She's not a great candidate either. Remember, she was the "inevitable" first woman major party nominee in 2008. Think about it. On September 11th 2001 the Twin Towers in New York are brought down by Osama Bin Laden. Here we are in 2008, seven years later, and Hillary Clinton loses the nomination to a black man named Barack Hussein Obama. That isn't easy to do, but she did it.

Now, Barack Obama is a great political athlete and you can say that Bill Clinton is a one as well. And I think we can see that Hillary Clinton doesn't have that X factor, that subtle energy

thing. Again nor does Jeb Bush so neither of them feel like they are moving us into the future. So Hillary is tanking and Bush is at 7 - 8%. It's just pathetic where he's at.

Yet with all of this said, Hillary could still win this time. 76% of Democrats still view her favorably. She's still on an easy path to win the nomination. But if the next two months of her campaign are like the last two months all bets are off. If she finds her groove then she's a good contender to win the nomination. And at that point we will not be comparing her with an ideal, we will be comparing her with her Republican competitor, and that's a whole new ball game.

My secret pet theory is that Bill Clinton doesn't really want her to win. What does he have to gain? First of all if she wins he's no longer the star; he's part of this two for one thing which I don't think he ever believed in the first place. History has born this view out so far, but if she becomes the president then all of a sudden, he's not as special as he thought. We talked about Donald Trump being a psychopathic enneagram 3, and Bill Clinton may be in that territory too.

Her winning also puts him back in the muck of politics. Currently Bill Clinton has this rarefied, exalted stature of being an ex-president. A *successful* ex-president, a *two-term* ex-president of the United States of America. It can't get much better than that. But with Hillary running he has to go back to all the day to day fights , which brings back all of his baggage and is going to cramp his style. So I think this idea of "The Clintons' as being monolithic is specious. We can tease them apart and see that what one has is not transferable to the other.

IS BEN CARSON A SMART STUPID PERSON?

All right...here are a couple other points I want to make about the American presidential race. Ben Carson is number two in the Republican race, right after Donald Trump. Dr. Carson is African American, he is a neurosurgeon and he is running the country, running for president...sorry, I did a Freudian slip there.

I think Bill Maher summed him up in an interesting way, a postmodern way that I will challenge.

Bill Maher audio: Well he is this soft-spoken Donald Trump. Let's not forget Ben Carson is super crazy. We did a piece years ago about the phenomenon what I call smart stupid people. Somehow he's a brilliant neurosurgeon who believes the world is 5,000 years old. As long as I live I will never understand that divide in people's minds. How you can be brilliant and a total fucking idiot at the same time.

Jeff: Well it's a good question and it's one that integral has an answer for. That is, if you look at the altitudes of development, yes we humans move inexorably from warrior to traditional to modern, to postmodern, to integral and if we live long enough (or enough times) we are going to go one to the next. We don't do it in lock step, though. We actually move up these stages of development through what we would call "lines" or "intelligences". So people can be cognitively at a postmodern stage of development and be morally at a warrior stage of development. Or be emotionally at a postmodern, sensitized level of development and to have values that come from the traditional or pre modern stage of development. These lines of development are remarkably, astonishingly independent. That you can have somebody like Ben Carson who is a scientist, he's a noted neurosurgeon and he does indeed believe that the world is 5,000 years old. Because his cognitive development is at a higher stage of development than his spiritual stage of development.

There was a profile on Ben Carson in the latest New Yorker where they talked about an incident that was very, very consequential, by Carson's own appraisal, in his life. It happened when he was in ninth grade. After a friend teased him, he pulled out a knife and thrust it at the friend's stomach. The boy could have died. Carson could have gone to jail. Instead the blade hit his

friend's belt buckle and broke. Carson ran home locked himself in the bathroom with the Book of Proverbs from the Bible's Old Testament, and he prayed to God to take away his temper. He says that he walked out of the bathroom a different person.

This is a tale that is oft told: how one incident can really push us from one stage of development to the next in an instant. In his case, Ben Carson moved from a violent to a civilized stage of development. That felt so right, felt so stable and so good to him, that he took permanent residency there in the spiritual/ moral line of development. Yes, his scientific cognition could go further but for him science is a thing that you *do* not a thing that you *are*. What Carson sees his self as being is a human being living in the kingdom of the almighty God of the universe. Isn't that something? Can you feel some sort of resonance with that? That is Ben Carson.

But it's not just Carson's religious identity that appeals to social conservatives. Another of the reasons is -- and this is going to sound very cynical for a few minutes and allow me that -- he's a black guy who hates Obama. The Republicans love that. He called Obama racist. He called Obamacare slavery. He says that we are living in a Gestapo nation. It's like Bill Maher said: he's super crazy in those ways.

The last couple elections early in the primary season Republicans have gone all in for the black candidate (remember Herman Cain? Colin Powell?)...especially when the black candidate rejects the idea that there's an active racism in the country. Ben Carson is the opposite of the angry black man. In fact he has such a flat affect that it is astonishing to me that he's gotten much traction at all because of his unwillingness to really show any kind of passion.

Again, I don't mean to be cynical. That Republican voters support such an unlikely candidate as Ben Carson actually shows evolutionary progress... conservatives want to demonstrate to the rest of the culture that they are not racist in the way that we liberals think they are. We liberals console ourselves by thinking that Republicans are ignorant racist yahoos. This is their, "Oh yeah, not so fast" moment. I don't think it will last, I certainly don't think that Ben Carson will be a real credible candidate for the nomination any more than Herman Cain was, but we shall see.

Gosh I have been going at it for an hour here. Let me just finish with a couple thoughts on the other social conservatives, Mike Huckabee, Ted Cruz and these guys.

I think one of the moments that really exemplifies what they are doing is the brouhaha that happened around Kim Davis, the county clerk in Kentucky who refused to offer marriage licenses to gay couples, due to her religious beliefs. She was derided by the liberals as a being a hypocrite, because it turns out that she herself has been married four times -- so who is she to deny marriage to anybody.

But from her perspective and from the perspective of social conservatives she's not a hypocrite. She's a saved sinner. Everybody is a hypocrite and sinner until they are saved by Jesus.

Being married four times before civilizing yourself with one man is the move from the red warrior stage to the amber traditional stage of development. You move from no impulse control to impulse control. And so for somebody like Kim Davis, who is saved from her bad behavior by the love of Christ, the sexual revolution doesn't feel like progress. Sorry you modernist and post modernists, but regarding gay rights, do you know what gay people actually do in bed? Have you really thought about it? Gay sex is repulsive at the traditional stage of development, which is where you organize yourself to be good and true and to follow God. That's where she's at.

Now I would notice, as a card-carrying homosexual myself, that it is no longer about saving the culture from homosexual sodomy. Because heterosexuals now do what gay people do. This is a modern and especially postmodern movement of humanity. Now everybody is doing it -- oral

sex anal sex -- and that as much as anything is behind the rise of gay acceptance. I'm not sure gay people want to really carry the flag for sodomy, but it's really true. It's not that everybody else has accepted us as much as that everybody else joined us.

Ok, folks, that's it for this week. Check back next week for more Daily Evolver Live!